1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 JAMES LYNN O'HINES, Civil No. 08-0699 DMS (BLM) CDCR #K-86989, 12 ADC #197067, 13 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CIVIL ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE 14 FOR FAILING TO PAY FILING FEE **REQUIRED BY 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a)** VS. 15 AND/OR FAILING TO MOVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS JOHN ONTIVEROS, Warden, et al., 16 **PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)** 17 Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff, an inmate currently incarcerated at the Arizona State Prison Complex in 20 Florence, Arizona, and proceeding pro se, has filed a civil action which is entitled a "Coram 21 Nobis Appeal" but which invokes federal jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (diversity 22 of citizenship), 28 U.S.C. § 1655 (lien enforcement); 18 U.S.C. § 1201 (kidnaping), 42 U.S.C. 23 § 2000c (Department of Education Organization Act), 28 U.S.C. § 1738A (full faith and credit 24 given to child custody determinations), 42 U.S.C. §§ 654, 655, 663 (Title IV-D of the Social 25 Security Act) and the "Model Penal Code § 210.1 (1977)". (Compl. at 1.) 26 /// 27 <sup>1</sup> The Court notes that Plaintiff was formerly a California state inmate. It is unclear whether he 28 is currently a California state inmate housed temporarily in Arizona, or whether he is now serving an

Arizona prison sentence.

2
 3
 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

While the exact nature of Plaintiff's claims is far from clear, he seeks an injunction preventing defendants, who appear to be prison officials in California, Arizona, and Tijuana, Mexico, from "further custodial prosecutions" and from "impos[ing] draconian punishments for racial, religious, [and] sexual discrimination." (*Id.* at 7.)

## Failure to Pay Filing Fee or Request IFP Status

I.

All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the United States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must pay a filing fee of \$350. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a party's failure to pay this filing fee only if the party is granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). See Andrews v. Cervantes, 493 F.3d 1047, 1051 (9th Cir. 2007); Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999).

Here, Plaintiff has neither prepaid the \$350 filing fee required to commence a civil action, nor has submitted a Motion to Proceed IFP. Therefore, this case is subject to immediate dismissal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a).

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## II. Conclusion and Order

For the reasons set forth above, the Court hereby:

(1) **DISMISSES** this action sua sponte without prejudice for failing to pay the \$350

filing fee or file a Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a) and 1915(a); and

(2) **GRANTS** Plaintiff **forty five (45)** days leave from the date this Order is "Filed" to: (a) prepay the entire \$350 civil filing fee in full; *or* (b) complete and file a Motion to proceed IFP which includes a certified copy of his trust account statement for the 6-month period preceding the filing of his Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and S.D. CAL. CIVLR 3.2(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall provide Plaintiff with the Court's approved form "Motion and Declaration in Support of Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*."

If Plaintiff fails to either prepay the \$350 civil filing fee or complete and submit the enclosed Motion to Proceed IFP within that time, this action shall remain dismissed without prejudice and without further Order of the Court. DATED: April 21, 2008 HON. DANA M. SABRAW United States District Judge